UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

NATASHA HICKS,

v.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:15-cv-00405-JAD-PAL

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

DOLLAR GENERAL MARKET, et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Natasha Hicks' failure to comply with the Court's Order (Dkt. #4). This proceeding was referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4.

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action *pro se* and filed a Complaint (Dkt. #1) on November 3, 2015. Because the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). The undersigned found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a valid claim and allowed Plaintiff until November 30, 2015, to file an amended complaint. The Order warned Plaintiff that a failure to file an amended complaint addressing the deficiencies explained by the Court would result in a recommendation to the District Judge that this case be dismissed. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, requested an extension of time, or taken any other action to prosecute this case.

Accordingly,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's Complaint be DISMISSED.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment accordingly.

Dated this 19th day of January, 2016.

PEGGY A. LEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.